# Progenitors and Explosion Mechanisms of Stripped-Envelope Supernovae

Keiichi Maeda Dept. Astron, Kyoto University



## Stripped-Envelope SNe (SESNe)



## **A Rough Picture**



## **Beyond the standard mass loss**



LBVs leading to a WR w/ a giant eruption in a few years? SNe 2009ip (Fraser+15, Graham+17), 2015bh (Elias-Rosa+16, Thoene+17), 2016bdu and 2005gl (Pastorello+17). 2005gl w/ progenitor (LBV progenitor for IIn) (Gal-Yam+Leonard 09). # Relation to SESNe? # Late burning stages? (how envelope reacts? See Ouchi's poster)

## **SN properties**

![](_page_4_Figure_1.jpeg)

The LC time scale + velocity (roughly) similar. ⇒ Similar Ejecta mass and Explosion Energy. The peak luminosity slightly higher for SNe Ic. ⇒ (Relatively) larger M(<sup>56</sup>Ni) for SNe Ic (?). Exception: Broad-lines SNe Ic (not for this talk).

## **SN Properties**

![](_page_5_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Figure_3.jpeg)

Similar, details depend on analysis.  $M_{ej} < 4M_{\odot} \Rightarrow M_{ms} < 20M_{\odot} \Rightarrow binary (?)$ 

## **SNe IIb: The best studied SESNe**

#### "Strong" cooling (extended H) 1993J & 2013df SNe IIb comparison 17 V Magnitude -16SN 1993J Absolute SN 2008ax SN 2011dh SN 2013df -15Van Dyk+ 2014 -200 20 40 Day Since V Maximum

![](_page_6_Figure_2.jpeg)

Similar spectra & peak LC ⇒ similar progenitor mass and energetics

![](_page_6_Figure_4.jpeg)

## Progenitor diversity (no RSG, no WR)

![](_page_7_Figure_1.jpeg)

The progenitor mass range largely consistent with SNe IIp. Hydrogen stripping sequence ~ Diversity in radius

### 4 YSG progenitors among 5 best-studied cases

![](_page_8_Figure_1.jpeg)

"Classical" YSG: Expanding rapidly towards red supergiants after leaving the main sequence, spending only a few thousand years in that phase.

SN 2011dh: Van Dyk+ 2013

(Originally) not considered as a "SN progenitor", but most of IIb progenitors.

## **BSG progenitor: SN 2008ax**

Pre-SN point source (Crockett+ 2008) indeed consists of multiple stars (need for deep post-SN disappearance image). SN had faded below the "progenitor" flux ⇒ Blue Supergiant progenitor.

![](_page_9_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Figure_3.jpeg)

# **Binary Evolution Model: Progenitors**

"Standard" binary models naturally explain/predict the diversity in the progenitors.

![](_page_10_Figure_2.jpeg)

# **Companion (candidates)**

Binary predictions: O/B companion (mass transfer should be there) Companion candidates commonly seen (at least no negative evidence, except for one object...)

![](_page_11_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Figure_4.jpeg)

## A big issue – Cas A

![](_page_12_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### No bright companion detected. Deep upper limit.

Kerzendorf+ 17, Kochanek 17

![](_page_12_Figure_4.jpeg)

	$A_V = 10.6 \text{ mag}$	$A_V = 15 \text{ mag}$
Main Sequence companion	below M0	below K5
Stripped Stars	not allowed	
White Dwarfs	allowed	
Single Star	Stars with > $30M_{\odot}$ exist in the neighbourhood	
Disrupted binary	allowed	
Pre-explosion Merger	allowed	
Neutron Star	allowed	
Black hole	allowed	

Table 4: Progenitor scenarios that are not ruled out by the presented data for two estimates of extinction

![](_page_13_Figure_0.jpeg)

An armature's discovery by luck. **Extremely fast rise:** ~ 1 mag in 40 min ( $\Leftrightarrow$  ~ 1 mag in 10 days in SN peak, even faster than the post-breakout "cooling").

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

## **Confirming the basic picture of breakout**

![](_page_14_Figure_1.jpeg)

Estimated progenitor:

He core ~ 5 M<sub> $\odot$ </sub>, H env.~ 0.1M<sub> $\odot$ </sub>, R~300R<sub> $\odot$ </sub>, M<sub>ms</sub>~20M<sub> $\odot$ </sub> Consistent with the detected progenitor candidate. Confirming the basic mechanism of the SB. (but could be some CSM: ~ 6 x 10<sup>-4</sup> M<sub> $\odot$ </sub>/yr in the final hrs?)

## **Progenitor radius**

### days "post-breakout cooling: Shock-deposited energy" $T \propto (Vt/R_0)$ Brighter for larger

weeks "<sup>56</sup>Ni-heating" No information on the progenitor radius

![](_page_15_Figure_3.jpeg)

## Enhanced mass loss in the final decades?

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

The first "flash spectroscopy" as reported for SN IIb 2013cu. Follow-up samples all for SNe II (Yaron+ 17, Khazov+ 17, ...). Simply bias? (other SNe IIb spectra after > 2 days) ⇒ need more "first 24hrs" for SESNe.

## "Smooth" mass loss in the larger scale

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Even for SNe lb/c: Chevalier+Fransson 06

SN 2005ip

SN 2006bo

SN 2006id

SN 2006aa

SN 2008fa

SN 2010jl

60

70

Supernova	$\alpha$	$\beta$	Age	References
			(days)	
1983N	-1.0	-1.6	30 - 300	1
1984L	-1.0	-1.5	100 - 200	2
1990B	-1.1	-1.3	70 - 200	3
1994I	-1.0	-1.3	20 - 800	4
2001ig	-1.06	-1.5	70 - 700	5
2002ap	-0.9	-0.9	4 - 20	6
2003L	-1.1	-1.2	100 - 400	7
$2003 \mathrm{bg}$	-1.1	-2	60 - 1000	8

Some Modulations (e.g., Wellons+ 12) and outliers, but largely follow ~  $r^{-2}$ . Smooth mass-loss responsible for the stripping, or a sequence of eruptions in ~ 1000 yr timescale?

## SNe IIb w/ strong late-time CSM interaction

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

SNe IIb 1993J & 2013df: CSM interaction visible at ~ 1 year. It is consistent with the smooth  $r^{-2}$  distribution. For their CSM density, CSM becomes dominant @ ~ year. # Radio is smooth, no strong variation ( $\neq$  eruption).

## Progenitor HR vs. CSM (in the last 100 yrs)

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Ouchi & KM 17

# **Binary Evolution Model: CSM**

#### **Progenitor R vs. mass loss**

![](_page_20_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### **Binary does predict**

-2

Diversity in progenitor radius (different H-stripping)
the R - mass loss relation (in the last 100 yrs).

## A candidate progenitor of SN Ib

**Direct detection difficult (expected progenitor too blue).** The first detection of a candidate in 2013: iPTF13bvn

Massive Wolf-Rayet?  $(M_{ms} > 20M_{\odot})$  (Cao+ 13)

SN emission indicates a compact progenitor, but less massive (e.g., Bersten+14, Kuncarayakti+ 14).

Controversy?

![](_page_21_Figure_5.jpeg)

## SN lb iPTF13bvn

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

HST observation at ~2 yrs. Progenitor gone. Revised phot. $\rightarrow$ less massive. (Folatelli+ 16; Eldridge+Maund 16). **Consistent w/ binary**, but UV limit for a companion (< 20M<sub> $\odot$ </sub>)

![](_page_22_Picture_3.jpeg)

Folatelli+ 2016

## SNe Ib w/ strong CSM interactoin

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

SN "Ibn" 2006jc: He emission lines (He-rich CSM). Pre-burst in 2004. SN Ibn "variety". Some Ibn different than canonical SNe Ib? (e.g., Moriya+KM 16) SN Ib 2014C: Strong Halpha developed at ~ 0.5 yr. Cavity? Not like He-rich CSM. More like normal, timing of "eruptions"? (Margutti+ 17) SNe IIb  $\Rightarrow$  *Ib* $\rightarrow$ *IIn* $\Rightarrow$  Ib  $\Rightarrow$  *Ibn* $\Rightarrow$  Ic or different population?

## A (first) candidate progenitor of SN Ic

 $M_{ms} \sim 47-80 M_{\odot}$ ? ( $\Leftrightarrow$ a tension to a sample of SN Ic properties)

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

Stellar clusters in a similar galaxy M74

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

"Optically-found" SNe lb/c seem to have the ejecta of  $< 4M_{\odot}$ . If  $M_{NS} \sim 1.4M_{\odot}$ ,  $M_{CO} < 5-6M_{\odot}$  ( $M_{ms} < \sim 25M_{\odot}$ ). ... But similar properties w/ other SNe. More likely a less massive progenitor? (cluster member or multiple stars? e.g., situation for 08ax).

## An upper limit for companion of SN Ic 2012ap

![](_page_26_Figure_1.jpeg)

No good companion candidate detected for SNe Ib/c so far. 2012ap (Ic-BL): < ~10M<sub>☉</sub> MS (Zapartzs+ 17)

iPTF13bvn (Ib): <  $\sim$ 20M $_{\odot}$  MS (Folatelli+ 16) Binary model survives; we need detection.

![](_page_26_Figure_4.jpeg)

Stripped-envelope SNe (Z = 0.0055)

## SN Ic w/ strong CSM interaction

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

SN Ic 2017dio: Evolved into SN IIn in a month (Ic⇒IIn). CSM increasing outward (not r<sup>-2</sup>). Some SNe IIn may host SNe Ic (WR, C+O).

## SN Ic w/ strong CSM interaction

![](_page_28_Figure_1.jpeg)

Not much mass between "SN Ic" and "H-rich CSM"  $\Rightarrow$  Where is He??? 1. Revisit to the He-rich CSM interaction characteristics # But Ibn is there. 2. Perhaps binary companion # How the companion knows the primary is going to explode. 3. Otherwise, further unknown in the (single star) final evolution? # but not the "final" eruption.

And, how common is it? (could be abundant behind SNe IIn?)

## Summary

- SNe IIb/Ib/Ic share similar properties as SNe (at least to the first order).
- Binary scenario well-developed for SNe IIb.
  - Progenitor, companion, mass loss.
  - Largely "smooth" CSM for the last ~ 10-1000 yrs.
  - No particular need of the eruption for the H-rich envelope stripping, but does not reject it.
- Less clear for SNe Ib/c; no strong argument against binary interaction scenario, but may require something else.
  - − SN Ib  $\Rightarrow$  IIn may indicate a role of the eruption? Ibn???
  - SN Ic ⇒ IIn: further challenge, perhaps companion mass-loss important for SNe IIn.